

Browse Everything

Collections

Departments

Deposit

Enter search terms

Go

Advanced search

Home

THE RECORD(S) OF JUDGE BRETT M. KAVANAUGH: A CASE STUDY OF INFORMATION ACCESS, EXECUTIVE PRIVILEGE AND ACCESS TO EXECUTIVE BRANCH INFORMATION IN THE UNITED STATES SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

Public

Deposited

Analytics

Bennett E. Chapman. The Record(s) of Judge Brett M. Kavanaugh on Executive Privilege: A Case Study of Information Access in the United States Senate Judiciary Committee and Access to Presidential and Federal Records. A Master's Paper for the M.S. in I.S. degree. August, 2019. 121 pages. Advisor: Denise Anthony.

This study presents findings from a close examination of five days of hearings before the Senate Judiciary Committee on the Nomination of Judge Brett M. Kavanaugh to the Supreme Court of the United States as a historical case study of federal information policy. I examined the shaping of federal information policy by the Senate Judiciary Committee itself, each Committee members' views on Executive power and Executive privilege as well as current and former President's impact on the process. I also examined the record of Judge Kavanaugh on United States v. Nixon and his legal and judicial approach to the Executive power and Executive privilege in order to facilitate an understanding of the Senate Judiciary Committee's deliberations.

Headings

- Executive privilege (Government information)
- Information policy
- Nominations for office
- Checks and Balances
- Political questions and judicial power
- Transparency in government

Download PDF

Citation

Request Accessible Version



Last Modified

August 2, 2019

Creator

Chapman, Bennett
 o Affiliation: School of Information and Library Science

Abstract

This study presents findings from a close examination of five days of hearings before the Senate Judiciary Committee on the Nomination of Judge Brett M. Kavanaugh to the Supreme Court of the United States as a historical case study of federal information policy. I examined the shaping of federal information policy by the Senate Judiciary Committee itself, each Committee members' views on Executive power and Executive privilege as well as current and former President's impact on the process. I also examined the record of

Judge Kavanaugh on United States v. Nixon and his legal and judicial approach to the Executive power and Executive privilege in order to facilitate an understanding of the Senate Judiciary Committee's deliberations.

Date of publication

August 2, 2019

Subject

[Nominations for office](#)
[Information policy](#)
[Separation of powers](#)
[Executive privilege \(Government information\)](#)
[Political questions and judicial power](#)
[Transparency in government](#)

DOI

<https://doi.org/10.17615/pkyj-r362>

Resource type

[Masters Paper](#)

Rights statement

In Copyright

Advisor

Anthony, Denise
◦ Affiliation: School of Information and Library Science

Degree

Master of Science in Information Science

Graduation year

2019


Language

[English](#)

Parents:

This work has no parents.

Items

Thumbnail	Title	Date Uploaded	Visibility	Actions
	bennettchapman.pdf	2019-08-02	Public	Select an action ▾

[Tweet](#)

[Home](#)

[Collections](#)

[Help](#)

[Contact Us](#)

[Library Home](#)

[Privacy Policy](#)

[Accessibility](#)