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AIMS AND CONTENT OF THE MODULE

The primary aim of this core module is to ask two simple but broad questions: what are the important types of surviving evidence of medieval and early modern culture? And: what aspects of culture can be illuminated using that evidence? The module’s secondary aim is to illustrate the variety of questions that the different Centre for Medieval and Renaissance Culture (CMRC) disciplines (English, History, Archaeology and Music) can apply to this evidence, depending on the traditions and techniques of the discipline concerned. It is in this sense that the module is ‘interdisciplinary’.

The types of evidence introduced include written items such as manuscripts, administrative documents, early printed books and physical artefacts such as paintings, clothing and buildings. The module is intended to provide you with (i) knowledge and understanding of key aspects of the culture of the medieval and early modern periods; and (ii) a basic ability to ‘read’, analyse and interpret a wide range of source materials e.g. by asking questions about the provenance, date, audience, form, typicality etc. of the item. Learning and teaching on the module is also designed to deliver a set of transferable research, writing and presentational skills.

This core module is taught in both semesters 1 and 2 in 3-week ‘blocks’. MRes students take either semester 1 or semester 2 of the module. Each ‘block’ focuses on a particular aspect of the period (such as power and authority; buildings and cities; identity and communities; music and poetry) through the analysis of specific kinds of relevant evidence. The aims and content of these blocks, and the research skills that each block covers, are described in detail at the end of this Handbook.

Several academic staff contribute to teaching this module. The staff are all specialists in the medieval and/or early modern periods, but are drawn from different disciplines. Mostly members of staff will teach you on their own, but sometimes a pair will lead a class together, at least for part of a two-hour session. Working with staff from different disciplines on the module helps to encourage an interdisciplinary approach to the study of evidence from the medieval and Renaissance periods. The module convenor attempts to attend most of sessions.

The aims of this module are to:

- enable you to explore, in an interdisciplinary environment, key aspects of medieval and Renaissance culture and the evidence for them
- examine the concepts of ‘medieval’ and ‘Renaissance’
- supply training in transferable and key skills, appropriate to the subject matter and level
- provide you with the knowledge and research skills required to make an informed choice about your dissertation topic, and to help you undertake that dissertation.
Knowledge, understanding and cognitive skills
Having successfully completed the module, you will be able to:

- identify and discuss a number of important aspects of the culture of medieval and Renaissance Europe
- identify and discuss some important broad categories of written and material evidence of the period
- analyse different types of historical evidence
- discuss contrasting questions posed by two or more different disciplines in relation to a shared topic or piece of evidence
- engage with problems and issues in the modern editing of a variety of written texts and documents
- show awareness of problems and issues in the conservation and presentation of physical artefacts; archives, maps, and manuscripts; and early books.

Key transferable skills
Having successfully completed the module, you will be able to:

- undertake a search for secondary literature on a particular topic using a variety of finding aids including web searches
- construct a bibliography on a specific topic
- research, locate and evaluate primary sources
- use footnotes effectively and correctly in order to cite primary and secondary sources
- assess and use maps, diagrams, and illustrations in your work
- communicate a topic you have researched via an oral presentation with supporting illustrations
- use Powerpoint effectively in support of presentations
- reflect critically on your own performance
- assess the research and presentation achievements of others
- work effectively as a member of a group.

Teaching and learning activities include:

- weekly interdisciplinary seminars including research skills element
- reading and group work in preparation for weekly seminars
- presentations (individual and group)
- attendance at research seminars (either those run by the CMRC or elsewhere)
- researching the assessed essay (this does not apply to MRes students unless they are offering the second unit in this module as an option)
- preparation of the portfolio (including documentation of the individual presentation, bibliographical review, research seminar report, short piece of editing)
- individual tutorials, e.g. when preparing assessments and for receiving feedback.
**Hours of study**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact hours</th>
<th>Non-contact hours</th>
<th>Total study time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Suggestions for preparatory/background reading**


Thomas Campion, *Observations in the Art of English Poesy* (1602; available via Early English Books Online)


J.J. Huizinga, *The Waning of the Middle Ages: A Study of the Forms of Life, Thought and Art in France and the Netherlands in the XIV and XV Centuries* (London: Edward Arnold, 1924 and later editions)


Ernst Kantorowicz, *The King’s Two Bodies: A Study in Medieval Political Theology* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1957)

Shakespeare, *The Tempest; Richard II*


**Important note**: The module provides a broad education in the period and in the skills necessary to complete the MA satisfactorily. It is also designed to allow you to develop your own interests, and the reading lists for each block are a guide to the possibilities. Please ensure that you read any designated core or primary text for each week, but thereafter read as much and as widely as you can in both primary and secondary material, and come to seminars prepared to share your reading with the rest of the group.

**Guidance and support**

We hope that you will enjoy studying for the MA/MRes in Medieval and Renaissance Culture. We do recognize that study at MA-level has the potential to be disorientating, especially if you are new to Southampton, have been away from your studies for a while or are coming to the Humanities having studied another discipline at undergraduate level. Advice and support regarding your academic progress is available from all staff on the module and programme, but most importantly from the module convenors: Dr Alice Hunt (for semester 1; a.hunt@soton.ac.uk) and Professor Catherine Clarke (for semester 2; c.a.clarke@soton.ac.uk). Dr Hunt and Professor Clarke also act as ‘academic advisor’ for all students on the programme. In the Student Services office, Alison Leslie is an important point of contact for issues to do with module choices and the submission of assessed work. Her email is a.j.leslie@soton.ac.uk.
As a student on the MA or MRes, you are part of the Centre for Medieval and Renaissance Culture (CMRC), and are encouraged to take part in the activities of the Centre as far as you are able, in particular the research seminar series (seminars are usually held on Monday evenings, on Avenue Campus, at 5pm) and the annual research workshop held in January. You will receive further details about these events at the beginning of the semester.

Masters students are also encouraged to become members of Humanities Postgraduate Connection (HPGC) which is a student-led society open to any postgraduate in the Faculty of Humanities at Southampton. They offer a range of support and also organize an annual postgraduate conference (many of our students have presented at or helped organize this conference).
ASSESSMENT

MA students take both units of this module and submit both assessments. MRes students take either semester 1 or semester 2 of the module and submit the portfolio to satisfy the core skills requirement of their programme. More detailed guidance on the portfolio can be found below, on p. 9. The deadlines and guidance for submission of these assessments are indicated on the next page.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment method</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>% contribution to final mark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. A 4,000-word essay on a topic of your choice to be approved by the convenor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Portfolio, up to 4,000 words, including all of the following:</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(i) Bibliographical review of up to 10–15 works (books/articles)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) Report on a relevant postgraduate research seminar series</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iii) Documentation of a presentation, including a reflective evaluation on it</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iv) A short piece of editing including textual apparatus and annotations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Relationship between the teaching, learning and assessment methods and the intended learning outcomes:** Your participation in the seminars will help you to understand the different contributions to knowledge that can be made by the different disciplines, and the ways in which these can be brought together in fruitful partnership. Integrated specific and transferable skills form a vital part of this module. The wide-ranging content will help you to make an informed choice about your dissertation topic. Your research for the Semester 1 essay might be used as content of the presentation you submit for the portfolio (though this is not essential). Ideally the essay and the portfolio will together form a coherent body of work that reflects your own particular interests, and helps you think about and prepare for your dissertation.
Exercises designed to provide ‘formative’ assessment and feedback throughout the module may include:

- group presentation
- individual presentation
- other workshops, short exercises or pieces of writing in preparation for the portfolio
- tutorial advice on essay proposals.

Assessment deadlines

Students taking the whole core module:

*Essay deadline*    Thursday 17 January 2013
*Portfolio deadline* Thursday 23 May 2013

MRes students taking the first semester of the core module only:

*Portfolio deadline*    Thursday 17 January 2013

MRes students taking the second semester of the core module only:

*Portfolio deadline*    Thursday 23 May 2013

Assessments must be submitted by 4pm on the above deadlines to Student Services in the School of Humanities (open between 10am and 4pm). Please consult the Faculty MA Handbook for further guidelines on submission.

A note on word counts:

Please refer to the Faculty MA Handbook for the Faculty’s guidelines about word counts. In brief, the word count for all MA assignments includes quotations and footnotes but excludes appendices and bibliographies.
GUIDELINES ON THE PORTFOLIO

The concept of the portfolio

A number of the modules on the CMRC masters courses now include a portfolio as a form of assessment. A portfolio is a collection of different items designed to reflect the work of the module, but it also allows you to gear your choice of responses to your own research interests. It can therefore be used to prepare the ground for future research activity – your dissertation, for example, or a research proposal for PhD study.

The portfolio items are written in a range of styles, and the components will vary in length. The ability to write in different ways for different purposes is an important skill. The variety of writing tasks in the portfolio should therefore help you develop your ability to undertake a range of future professional and research activities. Certain sessions of the core module will address particular aspects of the portfolio, such as: how to write a good seminar report or how to carry out an effective literature search and write a bibliographical review.

You should regard the portfolio as a chance to explore your own ideas. You are free to present your work in whatever way seems to you to be appropriate, within the broad guidelines below. Remember, your objective is to show off your response to the module to the best of your ability and to your best advantage. You might want each element of the portfolio to deal with a distinct topic. Alternatively, you might want to concentrate throughout on a particular theme, thus coming at the same topic from different angles. You should write a brief introduction (100–150 words) explaining how you have chosen what to include, and how it all hangs together.

Word count: part of the challenge of the portfolio is fitting everything into 4,000 words. The editing exercise will deal only with a short piece of text (see below) and so will not take up as much space as the other elements. The bibliographical review will probably be the longest element, at about 1,500–1,750 words. NB: The supporting documentation for the presentation (print-outs of Powerpoint slides, etc.) is NOT included in the 4,000 word limit.

For the assessment criteria used in marking the portfolio, please see p. 15 below.

The bibliographical review

The purpose of this exercise is to review a body of academic literature on a particular theme. This literature might comprise books and articles written by scholars from a variety of relevant academic disciplines, e.g. History, English, Archaeology, etc. The theme of this scholarship can be chosen by the individual student with the approval of the course convenor, and it might relate to the proposed topic of their MA dissertation. In fact the review might constitute formative preparation for the dissertation.

Your bibliographical review should be structured in two parts: the bibliography (a list of works), and the review/discussion of these. Read the assessment criteria closely and carefully with this distinction in mind. The assessment criteria refer to ‘works’: a ‘work’ here is any type of publication, from a short article to a long book. It does not mean just ‘books’. It may seem an impossible task to discuss about ten works in just 1,500 words, but do bear in mind that two or three
works might be discussed in just a sentence or two, if those works are something you looked at during your research but did not find particularly pertinent. Other more important works should be discussed in greater detail.

Your essay is intended to be similar to a review article found in many academic periodicals. It needs to do more than provide a series of summaries of the individual books or articles under review. It should relate these items to one another in order to provide an overview of scholarly debate on the chosen theme. Thus it needs to reflect points of agreement and disagreement between the various items, and indicate how such scholarship on the theme has developed and what it has considered the key issues of debate. This exercise will therefore help to develop your skills in synthesis, critical evaluation and argument. It will provide practical training for writing academic book reviews, as well as a useful and analytical entrée into the scholarship relevant to your dissertation.

A final word is needed on presentation of bibliographical information. You need to give precise, correct and consistent references to the particular articles/books under review. There are a few different referencing styles which we accept (MHRA, Harvard, Chicago, MLA) and the important thing is to use one style correctly and consistently. You will find that some of your optional modules specify which referencing style you should use (English modules, for example, specify that the MHRA style should be followed). Please check with the module convenor which style they would prefer you to use.

The editing exercise

Editing is one of the most important scholarly tasks one can undertake since it makes primary texts more easily available to researchers, students, and interested general readers. Primary texts in manuscript are otherwise likely to be locked away in single copies in libraries, or be written in such a form as to be unintelligible to non-experts. Even printed texts, now widely available through online resources such as EEBO (Early English Books Online) benefit from the attention of a specialist editor, whose job it is to compare different versions and to identify the reasons why a particular printed version takes the form it does. It is important to recognise that although the editor’s job is to present the chosen text as faithfully as possible, this will also and inevitably mean making changes. Every edition is therefore also an act of interpretation. It involves analysis and judgement.

A key task for all of you is to establish as early as possible exactly what you are going to edit. As you will gather when you read the marking criteria, the text you edit can be very short. The first thing to decide is whether you want to do something in Latin, or in English. Then you can consider more specifically what you will edit. Your editing task should be agreed with the module convenor, but it is a good idea to seek guidance and input from other members of staff also. Start thinking about possible texts to edit early as you can in Semester 2, and share your ideas with the convenor.

Once you have chosen what you want to edit, the next things to decide before one can begin the editing task, are as follows:

i. who the intended readership will be
ii. what particular problems this text contains
iii. how many early sources exist for this text, and what the relationship of any one is to each of the others

iv. what editorial principles you will therefore be adopting to cope with all the above. Where there is more than one source text, you will probably need to decide on which one to take as your main copy.

It is important that your editorial work is both clear and consistent. Readers need to know how your work has intervened in the text. This is why it is important to:

i. write a brief introduction, explaining your editorial approach

ii. make a record of variants between your different source texts, and any emendations you are introducing into your edition; this forms the ‘textual apparatus’.

First, you need to establish how the text you are about to edit has come into being. Why was it written and for what readership? If it is a set of financial accounts, for example, is your interest in it purely financial (like the first reader’s) or are you editing it in order to provide researchers with evidence for something slightly different, such as play production, or the function of an ecclesiastical administrative department, or the design, nature, and use of material objects. Is it an original manuscript or a copy? If it is a printed literary text, was the manuscript from which it was printed authorial or was it a scribal copy? Whenever a text is copied, whether by scribe or printer, errors or other changes are inevitably introduced. What criteria are you going to use to distinguish between an authorial improvement, a deliberate change introduced by someone else, and an error?

If it is an historical document, what are the conventional legal, accountancy or other forms it is using? How are you going to deal with formulaic repetitions, which will be of limited interest to the modern reader?

What approach are you going to take to spelling, since before the widespread introduction dictionaries there was no need for consistent spelling and every individual had their own spelling preferences?

For example, the vast majority of Renaissance plays only exist in printed form. You need to try to distinguish what kind of manuscript (authorial, scribal, theatrical) lies behind that printing. You may well decide that your readership needs an edition with modern spelling and punctuation. Modern spelling, is simply that, spelling; one must not change the word itself. If the word is no longer in use, of course, it cannot be modernised. Punctuation, however, can be a bit more tricky since the same marks of punctuation have historically been used to indicate grammatical as well as rhetorical and oratorical pauses and connections, and also metrical units. You will also need to standardise, and sometimes to introduce necessary stage directions. In all cases, your objective is to clarify, and also to be transparent in what you have done, through annotation and the textual apparatus, as appropriate.

Once you have decided on your approach to the text itself, you will also need to decide on the level of explanatory annotation: i.e. provide definitions of the more obscure words and phrases, as well as historical information to enable your reader more fully to understand what the text is saying, and its historical and cultural significance.

The above constitutes some general principles to the task of editing a range of documents. More specific guidance will be given on the particular editing exercises that you decide to complete as part of your portfolio during the editing workshop.
which constitutes part of this module. You are advised to examine published editions of generically similar works or documents for a fuller understanding of the processes involved, and the different choices undertaken by different editors.

**The research seminar report**

This should focus on the research seminars organised by the CMRC. However you may also include other research seminars organised by the academic disciplines (e.g. History or English) or other centres (e.g. Parkes Institute) in the Faculty of Humanities at Southampton, and even seminar series run by other institutions (e.g. Institute of Historical Research, London or the London Renaissance Seminar). You should write about four events (here or elsewhere) but you do not have to comment in equal depth on each. In any case you should attend all of the CMRC research seminar series. These take place at 5pm on Mondays on Avenue Campus in Room 2115. The schedule will be circulated at the beginning of the semester.

Your submission should be written as a report (i.e. it may include bullet points and sub- headings) and should give comparative, critical and reflective feedback on the seminars you have attended. You should show that you have grasped the argument of each seminar paper and understood the main points of discussion and debate which followed the paper. You should also comment on the speaker’s style of delivery and presentation and the methodological approaches and problems which their paper engaged with.

We regard this as a ‘real life’ activity; i.e. we will want to show the reports to the seminar organisers with a view to improving the series for future years. So, don’t pull your punches, but make sure you offer fair, balanced and constructive criticism.

**The documentation on a presentation you have delivered, including a reflective evaluation**

Making a presentation is one of those transferable skills that you may have already developed in your academic or working career and which you will almost certainly need in the future. Whatever it is you are presenting and whoever you’re talking to, there are key things that you will need to think about.

**Preparing the presentation**

The CMRC MA core module will give you the opportunity to make both group and individual presentations. Working in a group is also a transferable skill. You will need to:

- Manage the project, dividing the work between you, making certain that everyone knows what it is they’re expected to do and what the deadlines are
- Negotiate potential conflicts of opinion
- Ensure that everyone does their fair share.

It helps if you plan to present your material in a variety of ways; some people will listen better if you use some visual and/or audio aids. Make sure these are appropriate
to the content of what you are saying, and not just decorative. Beware of anything too gimmicky or distracting; you’re trying to entice people in, not put them off.

We’ve all had to sit through dreary Powerpoint presentations where the presenter merely repeats the bullet points written on the slides. But Powerpoint is very useful for images, and with the right combination of images, useful quotations, and memo points, you may be able to make your presentation without other notes – which is always impressive. You can also insert web links into a Powerpoint presentation, and audio links, but if you are trying to do the latter using a Mac, either bring your laptop with you, or ensure that the links are inserted in such a way that the lecture theatre PCs understand the commands. Ask ServiceLine for help (serviceline@soton.ac.uk or internal telephone 25656).

Once you have written your presentation, time it properly by speaking it out loud. Make sure you have the right amount of material for the time available. If there is too much, you will be tempted to speak too quickly for people to be able to enjoy listening to you.

**The presentation itself**

In advance of the presentation, make sure that you have all your materials to hand, and check any technology you will be using.

- You might like to stand up; it helps impart energy into what you’re doing.
- Look people in the eye; don’t bury your face in your notes.
- Speak clearly; paying attention to the meaning of what you are trying to say – if you don’t, no-one else will be able to do so.
- While you don’t want to make your presentation jokey, some lightness of touch is a good idea. If you enjoy what you’re saying, your listeners are more likely to stay with you.
- You should prepare for questions after your presentation. Think about what the questioner is asking before replying, concisely and to the point.

**Documenting the experience for the portfolio**

How do you think it went? What could you have done differently? What problems did you experience during the preparation process? How did you solve these? Think about the feedback you received both from the module tutors and from your fellow students. Do you think these comments were justified? Why? Write a short reflective evaluation.

You may be required to make a number of presentations for this core module, and you will need to include documentation for one of these presentations in your portfolio. This documentation should include any materials prepared for the presentation (e.g. handouts; Powerpoint slides), as well as the reflective evaluation.

There is no need to revise the handouts and other materials, unless you really feel that you must do so – in which case your reflective review should also explain why and what you’ve done. It is the quality of this individual reflective response to the whole experience in all its aspects – intellectually, organisationally and performatively – that the examiners will be looking for most of all.

It is for this reason that you may submit one of your group projects for this section of the portfolio. In this case you will be examined on your individual response, even if a group of you were responsible for the presentation. In the case of group
presentations, the relevant section of your portfolio should indicate your individual contribution to the work. Also, your reflection may include comments on how well the group worked together and what you could have done differently to improve that working relationship.
ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

Use the following criteria in conjunction with the general Faculty MA Handbook. The pass mark for all assessed work is 50. For the assessment criteria applied for the semester 1 essay, see ‘Guidance for assessed work and the dissertation’ in the Faculty MA handbook. Specific assessment criteria for the semester 2 portfolio are given below.

Bibliographical review

Distinction (70% and above)
The review’s bibliography will contain 10–15 works. The review will incorporate the majority of these works into its discussion of the theme, with few items attracting a token mention. All bibliographical information will meet bibliographic conventions and will be clearly, consistently and correctly presented. While the theme of the review may be a specific event, individual, or subject, the discussion is likely to focus on the treatment of important problems or questions. The review will have a very good grasp of the argument and methodology of all the works discussed and will critically evaluate them with a high degree of rigour and subtlety. The review will be well structured and written in readable, lucid and correct English. The review may show how the scholarship considered invites new research.

Pass (with merit) 60-69%
The review’s bibliography will contain 10–15 works. The review will incorporate the majority of these works into its discussion of the theme, with few items attracting a token mention. All bibliographical information will meet bibliographic conventions and will be, on the whole, clearly, consistently and correctly presented. The review will be clearly focussed on a specific theme, and the discussion may also include some treatment of broader problems or questions. The review will have a good grasp of the argument of all the works discussed and will critically evaluate them. It will be structured and will be readable and lucid and demonstrate a clear and competent use of vocabulary and grammar. The review may show how the scholarship considered invites new research.

Pass (50%–59%)
The review’s bibliography will contain 10–15 works, the majority of which are at least mentioned in the discussion. Bibliographical information will meet the basic minimum bibliographic conventions (e.g. alphabetisation, completeness). There will be a clear theme shared by the works discussed, though the common ground of these works may simply be their general subject matter. The review will offer some critical and integrated discussion of the items in the bibliography, and make some attempt to compare and contrast their approaches. The summaries of works discussed will demonstrate a generally sound grasp of their contents and arguments. The review will be readable, although there may be some simplistic or inaccurate use of jargon.

Fail (below 50%)
The review includes substantially fewer than the required 10–15 works in its bibliography, or mentions in its discussion fewer than a third of the works listed in the bibliography. Bibliographical information is likely to not meet basic bibliographic conventions, or to be incorrect or missing. There will be no common theme.
connecting the selected works, or this theme may be unclear. The review is likely to be largely descriptive, will discuss the different works one after another with very little attempt to compare and contrast them. The review may betray significant misunderstanding of more than one of the items discussed and the written English may be poor.

Editing exercise

Distinction (70% and above)
A mark at this level is possible even where the text edited is short (10–25 lines). The introduction will be well written, in an appropriate register, and will explain why the text has been chosen for editing, and states what form of edition (edition in original language only, edition plus English calendar summary, edition plus full English translation) is offered, and why. There will be some consideration, where relevant, of different sources for the edited text, and good awareness of scholarship relevant to the edition and/or editorial process will be shown. The edition itself will be largely accurate and consistent. Editorial conventions in use will be made clear. Existing modern editions of the text will be cited. The edited text will feature relevant and detailed annotation. There will be discussion of the problems involved in editing the text.

Pass with merit (60%-69%)
A mark at this level is possible even where the text edited is short (10–25 lines). The introduction will be clearly written and will explain why the text has been chosen for editing, and states what form of edition (edition in original language only, edition plus English calendar summary, edition plus full English translation) is offered, and why. There will be some consideration, where relevant, of different sources for the edited text, and some awareness of scholarship relevant to the edition and/or editorial process will be shown. The edition itself will be generally accurate and consistent. Editorial conventions in use will be generally clear. Existing modern editions of the text will be cited. The edited text will feature relevant annotation. There will be discussion of the problems involved in editing the text.

Pass (50%–59%)
A mark at this level is possible even where the text chosen for editing is short (10–25 lines). The work offers a genuine edition of a primary text (edition in original language only, edition plus English calendar summary, edition plus full English translation), rather than simply a translation (or version in modern spelling) of an existing modern edition. The edition itself will be generally accurate and consistent, though there may be some flaws in transcription or editorial inconsistencies. The work may use and cite previous modern editions of the text. It may note, where relevant, the different sources for an edited text. Annotation of the text will be evident, but may not always be complete or consistent. The introduction will be adequately written and will give some consideration to the problems of editing this text.

Fail (below 50%)
The work offered does not offer a genuine edition of a primary text (i.e. a manuscript, or early printed edition), but instead provides a translation or revised version of an
existing modern edition. Where a genuine edition is attempted, a mark in this band
may be given where the edition includes significant errors and inconsistencies in
transcription or translation. The work may provide no introductory comment on the
text selected, no annotation of introduction or text, or ignore existing modern editions.
Where there are multiple source texts, only one may have been considered in
preparing the edition.

Documentation of a presentation, including reflective evaluation

Distinction (70% and above)
The submission will include all relevant materials: text or notes of the presentation,
PowerPoint slides or other visual material used (e.g. handout), plus a reflective
evaluation report on the presentation, discussing preparation, delivery, content and
argument, and responses to the presentation. The presentation itself will have a clear
objective and structure. Appropriate supporting materials (e.g. PowerPoint, handouts)
will have been used. The report will refer to the presentation materials where relevant.
The report will be well written, and will be critically and academically reflective to a
high degree. As such, it will consider feedback sought and given on the presentation,
and use this and other appropriate evidence to evaluate the presentation’s argument
and delivery and, where appropriate, suggest improvements. With a group as opposed
to an individual presentation, critical reflection will focus substantially on the
individual candidate’s role in the group effort.

Pass with merit (60%-69%)
The submission will include all relevant materials: text or notes of the presentation,
PowerPoint slides or other visual material used (e.g. handout), and a reflective
evaluation report on the presentation, discussing preparation, delivery, content and
argument, and responses to the presentation. The presentation will be largely clearly-
structured and well-delivered. Appropriate supporting materials will have been used.
The reflective report may refer to other presentation materials where relevant. The
report will show evidence of critical and academic reflection and some engagement
with evidence (e.g. feedback) for the presentation’s success. Some consideration will
be given to both academic argument and delivery. With a group as opposed to an
individual presentation, critical reflection will focus substantially on the individual
candidate’s role in the group effort.

Pass (50%–69%)
While the reflective report will be present, one or more elements of the supporting
materials (e.g. handout, speakers’ notes) may be missing even where these were
created for the presentation. The presentation itself will be generally coherent even if
it lacks clear structure and aims. The reflective report may refer only intermittently to
the supporting materials. The report may be critically reflective only in parts, and may
include too much description, or focus solely or principally on either academic
argument, preparation or delivery. It may make only minimal use of feedback to
suggest alternative approaches. There may be some simplistic or inaccurate use of
language. A report on a group presentation may offer little consideration of the
individual candidate’s role in the group effort.

Fail (below 50%)
Work which omits the reflective evaluation report entirely falls in this band. The presentation itself may be lacking in focus or structure, and the reflective report may show little awareness of such difficulties. The reflective report will consist largely of a description of what was done, or of a summary of the content of the presentation, and may be poorly written. In such cases there will be little or no discussion of or reference to the supporting presentation materials. In the case of a group presentation, the report may omit consideration of the individual candidate’s role and restrict itself to the actions of the group.

Seminar series report

Distinction (70% and above)
The report will discuss a minimum of four seminar papers. It will summarize the content and arguments of each paper in such a way that these can be easily grasped by someone who was not present. It will offer incisive critical commentary on and analysis of the style and academic content of each paper, and may also draw pertinent comparisons between the different papers. A report in this band will probably also include critical consideration of the questions and discussion that followed at least some of the seminar papers.

Pass with Merit 60%-69%
The report will discuss a minimum of four seminar papers. It will summarize the content and arguments of each paper in such a way that these can be easily grasped by someone who was not present. It will offer critical commentary on the style and academic content of each paper, and may also draw comparisons between the different papers. A report in this band will probably also include critical consideration of the questions and discussion that followed at least some of the seminar papers.

Pass (50%–59%)
The report will discuss four seminar papers. It will summarize the each paper in a way that allows someone who was not present to get a largely adequate grasp of their contents and arguments. The report will offer some critical commentary on the papers, but may be descriptive and impressionistic, or may not achieve adequate balance between the papers’ style and academic content. A report in this band is likely to include remarks on the questions and discussion that followed the seminar papers, but these may be more descriptive than analytical. There may be some simplistic or inaccurate use of language.

Fail (below 50%)
Any report that discusses fewer than four seminar papers will fall into this band. Reports will also fall into this band if the accounts of the papers are in all cases too brief or general for someone who was not present to get an adequate grasp of their contents and arguments. The report as a whole will be almost wholly descriptive, irrelevant, or uncritical. It is also likely to contain little or no comparison of the various papers and be poorly written. Little or no commentary will be provided on the questions and discussion that followed the seminar papers.
THE MODULE BLOCKS: 2012-13 SYLLABUS

The module will be taught via two-hour weekly seminars in semesters 1 and 2 on Monday afternoon. The class will begin at 3pm and end at 4.45pm. The first class will meet at 3pm in Week 1 (Monday, 1 October 2012).

In semester 1, classes will be held in Avenue Building 65, Room 2115.

In semester 2, classes will be held in tbc.

After your core module classes, you are strongly encouraged to attend the CMRC research seminar series which meets on Mondays every other week or so at 5pm in Avenue Building 65, Room 2115. Part of your assessment for this module is to prepare at least four reports of seminar papers that you have attended during the year. You will be emailed details of the seminar series programme in due course.

Below is a brief outline of each of the blocks that constitute this core module. Further details about each block, and the required reading and preparation, are given in the pages that follow. Please also consult the Blackboard site for this module as tutors are likely to use this site to post up information and required reading.

Semester 1

Week 1 (1 October 2012)
‘Introduction to the Module’
Alice Hunt and Catherine Clarke
- The module: syllabus, aims and assessment
- What’s expected at MA level
- Skills: Introducing basic research skills for the period such as online catalogues and useful databases

Weeks 2-4 (8-22 October)
‘Power and Authority in Later Medieval Western Society’
Peter Clarke and Nick Karn
- Sources: papal registers; royal writs and seals; episcopal acta and letters; bishops’ registers; church records
- Skills: what to expect from a seminar paper and how to document reactions to seminar papers, to tie in with CMRC Research Seminar Series
- Exercise: draft seminar report for discussion (bring along to the class on 22 October)

Weeks 5-7 (29 October-12 November)
‘Monarchy and People’
Ros King and Alice Hunt
- Sources: chronicles; Shakespeare’s Richard II; ceremonial and pageant texts
- Skills: group presentations
- Exercise: draft reflective evaluation of presentations
Weeks 8-10 (19 November -3 December)
‘House and Home’
Maria Hayward and David Hinton
- Sources: remains of early buildings; objects and textiles found in medieval and Renaissance homes; inventories
- Skills: useful visual resources and approaching museums and galleries; identifying and researching a suitable essay topic with opportunity for each student to discuss their ideas
- Exercise: draft proposal for first research essay for feedback by module convenor in individual tutorials in Weeks 11 and 12

Week 11 (11 December)
Archive Skills Workshop
Remy Ambuhl
- Locating, searching and using relevant archives (based on sources already consulted or on students’ ideas for their essays and dissertations)

Week 12 (7 January 2013)
Editing Skills Workshop
Nick Karn
- Introduction to some principles of editing
- Exercise: draft piece of editing to be submitted for feedback

Semester 2

Weeks 1-3 (28 January 2013)
‘Identity and Creativity’
Laurie Stras
- Source: Castiglione’s *The Book of the Courtier*
- Skills: how to identify a dissertation topic and how to formulate a research question
- Exercise: discussion of a source related to proposed dissertation topic (source should be discussed in terms encouraged by this module: what kind of source is it; where is it from; how are you using it and how does this compare with how looked at previously; some reflection on methodology and disciplinary approach)

Weeks 4-6
‘Music and Poetry’
Ros King and Liz Kenny
- Sources: Renaissance treatises about music and poetry; poems and musical settings; recordings of early music
- Skills: presentations (individual) and peer appraisal
- Exercise: draft reflective evaluation

Weeks 7-8 ‘Making Medieval Place’
Catherine Clarke
- Sources: images, maps and writing about place via [www.medievalchester.ac.uk](http://www.medievalchester.ac.uk)
- Skills: conducting literature search
- Exercise: sample search

**Week 9 ‘Mappings’**
Catherine Clarke and Marianne O’Doherty
- Sources: medieval, Renaissance and modern maps
- Skills: referencing maps and digital resources

**Weeks 10, 12 ‘Communities and Others’**
Marianne O’Doherty
- Sources: chronicles; tracts; hagiography; narrative poetry; travel accounts; manuscript illuminations; maps
- Skills: writing bibliographical reviews
- Exercise: sample bibliographic review

**NB: Week 11 (Monday 6 May) is a bank holiday so there will be no class.** Instead, a local archive trip will be arranged for later in this week (details to follow).

**EXTRA DATE FOR STUDENTS AND STAFF:**

**Week 9, Monday 22 April, 5–7pm in Room 2115:** Student Dissertation Presentations, to be presented as part of the CMRC Research Seminar Series. All MA and MRes students completing their dissertations over the summer of 2013 will be required to give a short presentation on their dissertation topic.
This first session will be an introduction to the module – to its weekly sessions, assessment tasks, the research skills it delivers, and its overarching theme of evidence in the medieval and Renaissance periods: what is it? where is it? what do we do with it? At the beginning of the class you will be given a short text to read and discuss.

This session will also engage with issues about periodization and the concepts of ‘medieval’, ‘Renaissance’, ‘Reformation’ and so on. What, for example, do we understand by ‘the Renaissance’? When does the medieval ‘end’ and the Renaissance ‘begin’? We’ll use the below text as a basis for discussion:


**Research Skills:** This session will introduce you to some basic research skills for the period (useful databases, online catalogues, digitized resources) and we’ll be thinking about what’s expected of you and your work at MA level.
Semester 1, Weeks 2–4
Power and Authority in Later Medieval Western Society
Dr Peter Clarke (History) and Dr Nick Karn (History)

This block will introduce you to different genres of evidence associated with the two main authorities in the Later Medieval Western Society: the Western Church and secular (or royal) authority. Both these authorities were developing governmental and administrative institutions by the twelfth century that produced a wide variety of different documents. In attempting to read this evidence we will pursue these aims:

- understand how these documents expressed medieval ideas of power and authority
- make sense of the formal aspects and language adopted by these documents
- understand the bureaucratic practices that shaped these documents
- analyse why these documents were produced and preserved
- appreciate how these documents can be useful for different kinds of history
- know how to find originals and editions of these documents and how to cite them
- become aware of kinds of sources which might be useful for your MA dissertation.

The readings identified below are intended to provide an overview of each topic, and to provide a starting-point for any particular aspects you may wish to investigate in more detail. In addition to this, dossiers of selected documents will be made available in the week before each seminar. These will represent each of the main classes of documents relevant to each topic, and these will form the basis of discussion in the seminars themselves.

Research Skills: In preparation for attending the first session of the CMRC research seminar series, and for your portfolio assessment, this block will also include some discussion about what we might expect from listening to a research paper and what’s expected from a report on a seminar paper. You will also be required to write a draft seminar report and submit this for feedback.

Week 2: Papal sources (Dr Peter Clarke)
The Papacy developed one of the most sophisticated systems of government in the Medieval West by the twelfth century, one which claimed and exercised jurisdiction over the whole of Western Christian society on a wide range of issues, not all of them ostensibly spiritual. This class will focus on the registers of outgoing papal letters, which survive in a near continuous series from 1198 onwards. The first pope whose registers survive from this period is Innocent III and a particular emphasis will be his enregistered letters expressing ideas of papal power.

Select Bibliography:

Calendar of Entries in the Papal Registers relating to Great Britain and Ireland: Papal Letters (HMSO, 1894–); Petitions (HMSO, 1894).
B. Tierney, The Crisis of Church and State, 1050–1300 (1964; repr. 1992)
B. Tierney, Foundations of the Conciliar Theory: The Contribution of the Medieval Canonists from Gratian to the Great Schism (1955)
J. Sayers, Innocent III: Leader of Europe 1198–1216 (1994)

Week 3: Royal sources (Dr Nick Karn)
Kingship in the middle ages was a complex phenomenon, drawing on the king’s status as principal warlord, but also on his position as religious guide to his people, on the model of the kings of ancient Israel. Kings could make sweeping claims, but rarely had the resources or techniques to control even those institutions which technically acted in their interests. Royal government was more often a process in which different agencies negotiated over the transaction of business, and defended local, official and institutional interests and priorities. Nonetheless, through its levying of taxation and through the maintenance of justice and property rights, government in the king’s name could have great effects in the shaping of society.

Select Bibliography:
D. Roffe, Decoding Domesday (Woodbridge, 2007)
**Week 4: Episcopal sources (Dr Peter Clarke and Dr Nick Karn)**

Bishops were on the one hand spiritual leaders answerable to the pope as head of the Western Church but on the other hand men who often owed their episcopal offices to royal patronage and indeed commonly served royal government as ministers or in other capacities. Thus they often found themselves caught in the middle between sometimes conflicting papal and royal interests. Moreover they also often administered extensive ecclesiastical estates which again meant that their role was both worldly and spiritual. This class will survey their key records, including episcopal *acta* and letters, bishops’ registers and local church court records.

Select Bibliography:

R. N. Swanson, *Church and Society in Late Medieval England* (1989)
M. Gibbs and J. Lang, *Bishops and Reform, 1215-1272: With Special Reference to the Fourth Lateran Council of 1215* (1934)
P. Heath, *Church and Realm, 1272-1461* (1988)
W. A. Pantin, *The English Church in the Fourteenth Century* (1955)
A. Hamilton Thompson, *The English Clergy and their Organization in the Later Middle Ages* (1947)


Semester 1, Weeks 5-7
Monarchy and People
Professor Ros King and Dr Alice Hunt (English)

This block will take as its case study the reign of King Richard II of England, and his usurpation by Henry Bolingbroke, later Henry IV. We will explore the struggles between monarch and people with reference to medieval political theories of kingship, while also considering the ways in which his reign and usurpation were presented in the sixteenth century, both as ‘history’ and as ‘history play’. We shall examine sixteenth-century historiography and the ways in which the sixteenth-century historians on which Shakespeare relied, compiled their work, reusing the writing of earlier chroniclers. We shall look at the ways in which Shakespeare in turn adapted his sources to create a play which still retains its theatrical life in the present day. This block will also think about how medieval and early modern monarchs presented themselves to the people through ceremonies such as royal entries and coronations.

Research Skills: This block will also give you the opportunity to prepare and deliver a presentation as part of a group. You could choose to write up this presentation as part of the portfolio assessment. Further details about the presentation will be provided.

This block will enable you to:

- read and analyse different types of text: documents; parliamentary records and speeches; plays; pageants; polemic; argument
- use different types of primary texts to assess historical attitudes and perceptions
- understand ethical debates relating to governance and social responsibility
- identify and use written, oral and gestural rhetorical devices
- work in a group and take part in a group debate/presentation.

Week 5: Reading a play: Theatricality, tone, construction, and point of view in Shakespeare’s Richard II

What techniques (e.g. of humour and spectacle) are open to a dramatist in dealing with difficult or dangerous subject matter? How does Shakespeare re-present his material? What techniques of reading do we need to develop in order to become effective readers of sixteenth-century drama?

Reading:

Shakespeare, Richard II. You may use any good modern edition, but I recommend the Arden 3, edited by Charles R. Forker, which in addition to a very full introduction, and extensive annotation, contains a comprehensive reading list.

And in addition please read:

A Myrroure for Magistrates (1559), available on EEBO (Early English Books Online)
Week 6: Theatricality, tone, construction, and point of view in historical documentary sources
What techniques of reading do we need to develop in order to become effective readers of historical histories? How do writers present contemporary events and how do later historians reinterpret previous histories? How do these histories compare with Shakespeare’s version?

Reading:

Edward Hall, *The Union of Two Noble Families of Lancastre and Yorke* (1548)

Raphael Holinshed, *The Third Volume of Chronicles*, 1587 (available online at [http://www.english.ox.ac.uk/holinshed](http://www.english.ox.ac.uk/holinshed))

E. H. Kantorowicz, *The King’s Two Bodies: A Study in Medieval Political Theology* (Princeton University Press, 1957). This is particularly useful for its appendix which is an anthology of late medieval political tracts.


Week 7: Reading a ceremony
This week will look at the relationship between monarchy and people as expressed through public displays of kingship, such as ceremonies. We will consider the coronation of Richard II and will think about how we can use and interpret this ceremony, and what it can tell us about ideas of monarchical power.

Reading:
Account of Richard’s pre-coronation procession and coronation (1377) in *The Chronica maiora of Thomas Walsingham, 1376-1422*, trans. David Preest (Woodbridge: Boydell, 2005). Available in Hartley and photocopies will also be provided prior to the class.

‘The manner and order of the king’s coronation’, in *Holinshed’s Chronicles* (1587) (available online as above).


Additional reading:
C.F. Briggs, *Giles of Rome’s De regimine principum: Reading and Writing Politics at Court and University, c. 1275–c. 1525* (Cambridge University Press, 1999)

B. Guenée, States and Rulers in Later Medieval Europe (Blackwell, 1985)


J. Hatcher and M. Bailey, Modelling the Middle Ages (2001)


J. J. Martin, Myths of Renaissance Individualism (2006)


W. Ullmann, The Individual and Society in the Middle Ages (1966)
Evidence about the past is not derived only from texts; physical survival can be at least as important in explaining human behaviour, for people do not always record what they do, or understand why they do it. In the later Middle Ages and the Renaissance, 90 per cent of the population was functionally illiterate and could not write down their thoughts or explain their actions in writing; they appear in documents as economic units, paying taxes and working for their lords. Even the 10 per cent were concerned to leave a permanent record of only certain aspects of their lives, notably anything to do with their legal affairs and property.

The three sessions in this block look at some of the problems of examining physical survival, the information about material culture that is contained in documents such as inventories of property and building accounts, and some of the contributions that such studies can make to social and economic issues. Pictures of people and the things that they used will also be considered.

The focus will be on buildings, clothes and equipment. All three are informative about aspirations and achievements, at all social levels. How much people spent on their property, and the extent to which they were controlled by their incomes, expectations and (particularly in the case of clothing and food) by legislation, helps to explain the extent to which they had ambitions or focused on their need to retain their allotted place in the social order. The peasantry expressed their sense of self-identity as much as kings, despite their far more limited ability to build houses or acquire commodities. The role of money as the medium for acquisition, rather than gift or reward, must also be considered.

Further details about each week and preparatory reading will be provided.

**Research Skills:** This block will introduce you to some useful visual resources for research and will introduce you to some useful museum collections, and how to approach and search these collections. It will also offer help with identifying and researching a suitable essay topic and each student will have the opportunity to discuss their ideas with the rest of the group, and submit a draft proposal for feedback.

**Preliminary reading:**


Semester 1, Week 11
Archive Skills Workshop
Dr Remy Ambuhl (History)

This workshop session will offer practical help with how to locate, search and use relevant archives. Sources that you will have already looked at during semester 1 of this module will be used as case studies. Ideally, students should by now have a clear idea of their essay topic or, if you are an MRes student, an idea for your bibliographical review.

Semester 1, Week 12
Editing Skills Workshop
Dr Nick Karn (History)

This session will introduce you to some of the basic principles of editing early documents and texts, and to thinking about what an ‘edition’ of a text is, and how it should and should not be used. After this workshop you will be asked to submit, by 10 January, a small piece of text that you have edited. The workshop tutor will provide feedback on this draft editing exercise.

Useful reading:

P. D. A. Harvey, Editing Historical Records (London, 2001) [This has very useful advice on choosing texts for editing.]
This block will introduce you to notions of identity, gender and social interaction in the Renaissance and the ways in which identity is constructed through creative production and performance. The sources examined in this block emerge from the Italian and English Renaissance, but consideration will be given to how both texts and ideas translated from one culture to another, as foreign courtesy manuals and poetic texts were translated, re-interpreted and published in Elizabethan England. Secondary sources will also be studied, to explore specific methodological/critical approaches to Renaissance identity and creativity.

Aims and skills:

- to develop awareness of different paradigms of identity and gender in the early modern period
- to approach texts and creative artefacts through that awareness in order to ascertain how the identity of the author or his/her subjects might be constructed
- to work with a variety of iterations of early sources, and to understand how to cite them
- to identify and research viable dissertation topics.

Books to purchase:


Primary sources:

*Il libro del cortegiano del conte Baldesar Castiglione*. Venetia, nelle case d‘Aldo Romano, & d’Andrea d’Asola suo suocero, del mese d‘Aprile 1528

Early English Books Online

THE COVRTYER OF COVNT BALDESSAR CA\{stilio diuided into foure bookes. Very necessary and profita\{ble for yonge Gentilmen and Gentil\{women abiding in Court, Palaice or Place, done into Englyshe by ThomasHo\{by. Imprinted at London by wyllyam Seres at the signe of the Hedg\{hogge. 1561.

Preparatory reading:


**Research Skills:** This block will include a session on how to identify a dissertation topic and how to formulate a research question. You will also be asked to bring in and discuss a source related to your proposed dissertation topic (source should be discussed in terms encouraged by this module: what kind of source is it; where is it from; how are you using it and how does this compare with how looked at previously; some reflection on methodology and disciplinary approach).

**Week 1**
Reading for discussion:


**Week 2**
Reading for discussion:


Richardson, Brian Printing, Writers and Readers in Renaissance Italy. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1999. (Part II: ‘Writers and Print Culture’)

In-class workshop:
Looking at dedications from a variety of sources, including The Courtier, to ascertain what they reveal about the source, its creator(s), and its eventual audience.

**Week 3**
For discussion:

Come to class with a source of your choice, preferably from your dissertation topic. Devise a list of questions that you might ask of your source that relate to the identity(ies) it presents, whether that of the author, the addressee, or the subject or characters it contains. Describe how these identities relate to the paradigms presented in The Courtier.
Semester 2, Weeks 4–6
Music and Poetry, Metre and Rhythm
Professor Ros King (English) and Liz Kenny (Music)

This block will pay attention to oral and aural aspects of Renaissance culture. You do not need to be able to read music, but the sessions will help develop your skills in speaking and critical listening.

What constituted the soundscape of Elizabethan England? How did a humanist education in Latin poetry and drama combine with the sixteenth-century Reformation’s renewed emphasis on the word to inform the project to make the English language fit for poesy? What was the political and cultural function of music and performance at court? How might these and other considerations influence the ways in which we now might speak Elizabethan poetry and play early music?

Research Skills: This block includes a session on individual presentations and discussion of how to write a reflective evaluation of a presentation. You will also be expected to provide constructive feedback on the presentations of your fellow students.

Week 4: Introduction to Renaissance ideas about music and its value, debates about word-setting, and how the English language works in poetry

Please look at:


- Richard Edwards, *The Paradyce of Daynty Deuises* (1576). This was the most popular of all the Elizabethan miscellanies running to at least ten editions by 1610. It includes syllabic poems by the poet, composer, dramatist and teacher Richard Edwards and others, some of which are also found elsewhere with musical settings. Each edition is slightly different. The Edwards poems and their settings are in Ros King, *The Works of Richard Edwards: Politics, Poetry and Performance in Sixteenth-Century England* (Manchester University Press, 2001) (in Hartley library).

- Thomas Campion, *Observations in the art of English poesy* (1602). Campion was a poet and composer of the highest distinction. Expert musical knowledge informed his views on English prosody, although his attempt to introduce quantitative measures was doomed to failure. Accessible through EEBO. Percival Vivian’s 1903 critical edition of *Campion’s Works* is in Hartley (PR 2228).

- Samuel Daniel, *A panegyrike congratulatorie deliuered to the Kings most excellent Maiestie at Burleigh Harrington in Rutlandshire. By Samuel Daniel. Also certaine*
epistles, with a defence of ryme heretofore written, and now published by the author (1603). A reply to Campion, challenging many of his opinions from quite a well-informed position. Samuel Daniel’s brother, John, was another leading English composer. Accessible through EEBO. Campion and Daniel both reprinted in G. Gregory Smith, ed., Elizabethan Critical Essays, 2 vols (Oxford, 1904). In Hartley (PR 70).

Week 5: The function of music and dance and their relation to spectacle in Jacobean drama and court entertainment

Discussion will centre on:

Shakespeare, The Tempest
Ben Jonson, The Masque of Oberon
Campion, The Lord Hay’s Masque

See also:

Barbara Ravelhofer, The Early Stuart Masque: Dance, Costume and Music (Oxford University Press, 2006)


Week 6: Formative assessment
A short individual presentation (in any medium/media) on how the experience of hearing music and poetry combined in song has affected your response to the poetry alone. This week will also discuss how to write a reflective evaluation on a presentation, which is part of your portfolio assessment.

Further reading:


William Gardiner, *The music of nature: or, an attempt to prove that what is passionate and pleasing in the art of singing, speaking, and performing upon musical instruments, is derived from the sounds of the animated world. With curious and interesting illustrations* (London and Leicester, 1832)
Includes a fascinating chapter ‘On rhythm in [the English] language’, using musical rhythm notation to show how he thought extracts from poems, Shakespeare speeches and even a political speech were meant to be read out. In Hartley, but microfiche only. Ask a librarian to help you find it.


Not cutting-edge any more but one of the best summaries of transition from Neoplatonic to pre-enlightenment thought on music.

In Hartley (ML 2849 DOU).

In Hartley (ML 285).

An excellent critical study full of insights about music, poetry and the relationship between them. In Hartley (ML 285).


A philosophical discussion of some of the issues involved in performing early music.


**CD recordings:**

There is a good selection in the Music Resources Room, Hartley Library. You’ll need to listen to them there – no borrowing allowed.

E Kenny: *Dowland Lute Songs, Britten Nocturnal* (Hyperion CDA67648)

*Songs by Henry and William Lawes* (Hyperion CDA675890)
With Robin Blaze, Rebecca Outram, Rob Macdonald, Bill Carter, Frances Kelly.
Recorded 2006.

*Move Now with Measured Sound: Music by Thomas Campion* (Hyperion CDA67268)
With Robin Blaze, David Milller, Mark Levy, Joanna Levine.

*English Lute Songs* (Hyperion CDA67126)

The New London Consort
*Jacobean Songs and Consort Music* Gramophone Critics’ Choice
LINN CKD 011

Decca: English Opera Series Locke Psyche Gramophone Editor’s Choice 444 336-2

The Musicians of the Globe
The first recorded reconstruction of Ben Jonson’s court masque, with music for a vast array
of voices and Renaissance instruments by Robert Johnson (Shakespeare’s lutenist),
Ferrabosco and Holborne.

The Parley of Instruments
The English Orpheus series on Hyperion records (www.hyperion-records.co.uk) including
Odes to St Cecilia, Vol 31.
John Blow (1649-1708) The glorious day is come (St Cecilia Ode, 1691); Giovanni
Battista Draghi (c1640-1708) From harmony, from heavenly harmony (St Cecilia
Ode, 1687).

*Hark! Hark! The Lark! Music for Shakespeare’s company* Vol 43.
John Atkins, John Hilton, Simon Ives, Robert Johnson, William Lawes, Johann

Les Arts Florissants/William Christie: King Arthur. Erato 4509985352

Hartley also has a good selection of recordings by Emma Kirkby and the Consort of
Musicke.
What kinds of evidence can we use to develop an understanding of medieval place and identity? What techniques can we use to ‘read’ these different kinds of evidence?

This block will engage with broad questions about place and identity in the Middle Ages, focusing on medieval Chester as a case study. Centred on the accessible resources at [www.medievalchester.ac.uk](http://www.medievalchester.ac.uk), seminars will explore the city’s material fabric and urban environment, its rich multi-lingual culture and literary texts in Middle English, Latin and Welsh (all with accompanying glosses or translations). By reading these texts alongside the digital atlas of the city c.1500, we will examine the ways in which place is produced according to varying social, cultural and ethnic perspectives and practices, touching on associated themes such as the gendered use of urban space, formulations of centre and periphery / nation and region, different kinds of ‘mapping’, and theories of liminality and borders (relevant to Chester as a frontier settlement). There will also be opportunities to think critically about the website itself, and in particular the benefits and challenges involved in bringing together medieval and modern / digital spatial imaginaries and representational systems.

**Research Skills:**
Identifying a suitable topic for a literature search and, using relevant and specialist bibliographic resources, how to carry out an effective search. Students will be asked to carry out a sample literature search and present their findings in the seminar to your tutor and peers.

**Primary sources**
All online at the ‘Mapping Medieval Chester’ website ([www.medievalchester.ac.uk](http://www.medievalchester.ac.uk))

**Secondary sources**
- Robert W. Barrett, Jr., *Against all England: Regional Identity and Cheshire Writing, 1195-1656*, (Notre Dame, 2009)
- Catherine A.M. Clarke, ed., *Mapping the Medieval City: Space, Place and Identity in Chester c.1200-1600* (Cardiff, 2011)
- Paul Strohm, *Theory and the Premodern Text* (Minneapolis, 2000)
Semester 2, Week 9
Mappings
Professor Catherine Clarke and Dr Marianne O’Doherty (English)

This block will investigate the roles and functions of maps in making places, identities and communities. This block is intended to act as a ‘bridge’ between ‘Making Medieval Place’ and ‘Communities and Others’. Our sources will be maps medieval, Renaissance and modern. We will explore maps as diverse as Stephen Walter’s ‘The Island’ (2008), the Hereford Mappa Mundi (c.1300) and other examples chosen by the seminar group, in order to interrogate questions of place, travel, identity and representation. We will pay close attention to the politics of mapping, engaging with theoretical approaches such as recent work on the ‘post-colonial’ Middle Ages.

Research Skills: This session will discuss how to correctly reference visual sources such as maps.

Reading:

Before the seminar, please browse any material you can on mappings and cultural representations, medieval or modern. Studies on the medieval period include:
The History of Cartography, ed. by Harley, Woodward and others (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1987-)
P. D. A. Harvey, Medieval Maps (1991)
Naomi Reed Kline, Maps of Medieval Thought (Woodbridge, 2001)

Semester 2, Week 9
Student Dissertation Presentations

Monday 22 April, 5–7pm: All students completing their dissertations over the summer of 2013 are required to present a 15-minute paper on their dissertation topic, as part of the CMRC Research Seminar Series.
Semester 2, Weeks 10 and 12 (Week 11 is a public holiday so there will not be a class this week)
Communities and Others
Dr Marianne O’Doherty (English)

The aim of ‘Communities and Others’ is to explore some of the many possible Medieval and/or Renaissance ways of constructing, categorising and describing cultural, ethnic or religious others. In the academic year 2012-2013 this block will introduce you to medieval ideas about alterity, monstrosity and religious deviance before focusing on late-medieval western European Christian attitudes to Jews and Muslims, with particular reference to sources from late-Medieval England. The block will include close study of extracts from a variety of textual primary sources including chronicles, polemic and theological tracts, hagiography and narrative poetry as well as discussion of selected visual sources (e.g., manuscript illumination). We will use this wide range of evidence to tease out the cultural norms that they betray, and the attitudes and behaviours that they attempt to elicit in their readers and viewers. Alongside the primary source material, you will read and assess the relevance and usefulness of selected theoretical and cultural-critical approaches to topics in this field.

Research Skills:
Draft bibliographical review, of up to 1,000 words, to be peer-reviewed in class and submitted, at the end of the block, for tutor feedback.

Outline schedule for classes:

Week 10: Introducing alterity, monstrosity, and deviance. Case study: Jews in medieval English maps and texts
Week 12: Focus on Islam: Interaction and representation; comparative discussion; module roundup.

Preparing for classes:

A detailed preparation schedule will be made available to you via Blackboard at least two weeks before the block begins. The best way that you can prepare generally for this part of the course is to make sure that your general awareness of Islam and Judaism and their respective histories is reasonable, and to read introductory works in the areas of orthodoxy and deviance, alterity and monstrosity, Christian-Muslim and Christian-Jewish relations in the Medieval Period. I will place a selection of useful and/or thought-provoking works on Reserve in Avenue Library to help you do this (see ‘preparatory and background reading’ below).

In addition to this background reading, you’ll be given a details of selection of primary sources to look at each week (available either in photocopy, at Avenue Library, or in the Electronic Reserve Collection).
Preparatory/Background reading:

Cohen, Jeffrey Jerome, *Of Giants: Sex, Monsters, and the Middle Ages* (Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, c1999) [electronic resource; available via webcat on campus or via VPN]


Richards, Jeffrey, *Sex, Dissidence and Damnation: Minority Groups in the Middle Ages* (London: Routledge, 1990)


Semester 2, Week 11
Local Archive Trip

Details to follow.
Ghislaine Maxwell’s lawyers tried to keep x-rated evidence related to pedophile Jeffrey Epstein from going public, but a judge denied the appeal and released damning docs on Thursday evening. A witness interview revealed Bill Clinton was at Epstein’s pedophile island with Jeffrey Epstein, Ghislaine Maxwell and 2 young girls. The documents also reveal the Mueller and then Comey FBI knew about these crimes for years and held evidence at the bureau.

Advertisement - story continues below. The FBI even had copies of the infamous Prince Andrew photo with the young Ghislaine Maxwell victim. Remember that famous Prince Andrew photo with Ghislaine Maxwell and the victim? However, there is limited evidence for this. A glaze is a thin vitreous coating applied to practice. In the fritting process, raw materials another material to make it impermeable, or would be heated at temperatures just high to produce a shiny decorative appearance. enough to fuse them, and in doing so to Glaze was sometimes applied with the body release carbon dioxide from the alkali carbon material before firing, but more often it was ates. The from silica, alkali metal oxides (commonly resulting paste was shaped by hand or in an referred to as alkalis) and lime, when these open mould and then heated until the lime have been heated to a temperature high and soda had reacted enough (fused suffi Find, read and cite all the research you need on ResearchGate. Edition: First Edition. Chapter: The Italian Renaissance: Transition from Medieval to Early Modern Europe of the University System and Higher Learning. Publisher: Global Scientific Publishing Company LLC. Editors: G. C. Sih, A. Q. Li. This contribution presents an overview of the Renaissance in Italy, where it first appeared, and covers its prelude, rise, culmination and eventual decline. The influence it had on the university system is first presented, and then its achievements in science and technology are examined.