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1. INTRODUCTION TO THE GUIDE

1.1 General Introduction to Offshore QRA

Offshore production of oil and gas involves some of the most ambitious engineering projects of the modern world, and is a prime source of revenue for many companies and countries. It also involves risks of major accidents, which have been demonstrated by disasters such as the explosion and fire on the UK production platform Piper Alpha, the capsizes of the Norwegian accommodation platform Alexander Kielland and the Canadian semi-submersible drilling rig Ocean Ranger, and the sinking of the Norwegian gravity base structure Sleipner A.

Major accidents represent the ultimate, most disastrous way in which an offshore engineering project can go wrong. Accidents cause death, suffering, pollution of the environment and disruption of business. Being so dramatic, they attract attention from the news media and linger in the public memory, causing concern about safety offshore. Are offshore platforms safe enough? Can major accidents be prevented? How should the offshore industry achieve an appropriate balance between the interests of safety and the economics of oil and gas production?

Quantitative risk assessment (QRA) is a technique that can be used to help achieve this balance. In the UK and Norway, the use of risk assessment is a legislative requirement for all new and existing installations, and several other countries are implementing similar regulations. As a result, QRA is now being used world-wide by designers, operators, and consultants in the offshore industry.

QRA is a relatively new technique. It cuts across traditional divisions of engineers such as civil, mechanical, chemical, aeronautical - it applies to all of them and belongs to none. Most of the textbooks on it relate to the fields of chemical and nuclear engineering, and there are no standard reference works on how to perform an offshore risk assessment. Most information and expertise is held by individual operators and consultants, and very little has reached the public domain. The UK and Norwegian regulations state what is required from a risk assessment, but do not say exactly how to do it.

As a result, the pool of expertise in risk assessment is very small. Many workers in the field are only recently acquainted with it. Few have experience in more than one or two applications. Risk assessment remains to a large extent a do-it-yourself activity.

In order to fill this gap, the Centre for Marine and Petroleum Technology (CMPT) has organised a multi-sponsor project to prepare a guide to offshore QRA. The sponsors include offshore operators and regulatory authorities in the UK, Norway, USA and Canada. DNV Technica has been the main contractor for the work.

1.2 Objectives of the Guide

The intention of the guide is to provide an introduction to QRA specifically for the offshore industry. It aims to introduce all the major aspects of the subject and to describe good modern practice in offshore QRA. It includes a selection of data and relatively simple analytical techniques that may be used in performing QRAs, and gives references to more sophisticated databases and computational methods. It also presents some example risk results. It is intended to serve partly as a training manual and partly as a reference book, and should be useful for engineers involved in commissioning, performing and evaluating risk assessments.

1.3 Structure of the Guide

Figure 1.1 illustrates the arrangement of material in the guide.
Figure 1.1 Structure Of The Guide

INTRODUCTION
Introduction to the guide (Section 1)
General outline of QRA (Section 2)
History of offshore QRA (Section 3)
Regulatory requirements (Section 4)
Types of QRA studies (Section 5)
Outline of offshore activities (Appendix I)
Software for QRA (Appendix XVI)

HAZARD IDENTIFICATION
Hazard assessment (Section 6)
Failure case selection (Section 7)
Data sources (Appendix II)
Accident descriptions (Appendix III)

FREQUENCY ANALYSIS
Frequency analysis (Section 8)
Reliability analysis (Section 9)

HYDROCARBON EVENT MODELLING
Consequence modelling (Section 10, Appendix IV)
Impact modelling (Section 11, Appendix V)
Evacuation modelling (Section 12, Appendix VI)
Hydrocarbon event summary (Section 13)

RISK ANALYSIS OF INDIVIDUAL HAZARDS
Blowouts (Section 14, App. VII)
Riser and pipeline leaks (Section 15, App. VIII)
Process leaks (Section 16, App. IX)
Collisions and marine events (Section 17, App. X)
Structural and marine events (Section 18, App. XI)
Non-process fires (Section 19, App. XII)
Transport accidents (Section 20, App. XIII)
Personal accidents (Section 21, App. XIV)

RISK PRESENTATION
Forms of risk presentation (Section 22)
Risk results (Section 23)
Uncertainties (Section 24)

RISK REDUCTION
Risk criteria (Section 25)
Risk reduction measures (Section 26)
Simultaneous operations (Section 27)
Safety management (Section 28, Appendix XV)
Quality management of QRA (Section 29)
Part I of the guide describes the subject as a whole and gives general guidance and example results. It follows the broad structure of a QRA study, divided into the following main areas:

1. Background material (Sections 1-5)
2. Hazard identification (Sections 6-7)
3. Frequency analysis (Sections 8-9)
4. General modelling of hydrocarbon releases (Sections 10-13)
5. Risk analysis of individual hazards (Sections 14-21)
6. Presentation of risks (Sections 22-24)
7. Risk reduction (Sections 25-29)

Part II of the guide includes 16 appendices containing more detailed information that may be useful when conducting an offshore QRA:

- Appendix I gives an introduction to offshore activities suitable for analysts with no prior knowledge of the industry.
- Appendix II outlines the main sources of data on offshore risks.
- Appendix III describes a selection of major offshore accidents.
- Appendices IV, V and VI give details on hydrocarbon release modelling issues covered in Sections 10-13 of Part I.
- Appendices VII to XIV give data on the individual hazards covered in Sections 14-21 of Part I.
- Appendix XV gives a more detailed discussion of safety management systems, which is summarised in Section 28 of Part I.
- Appendix XVI consists of a directory of computer software currently available for offshore QRA.

The information in Part II is necessarily only a small sample, and should if possible be supplemented with more relevant or more up-to-date data.

1.4 Nature of the Guidance

The guide does not attempt to specify a single approach to QRA. As far as possible, it presents a range of approaches from which readers can choose the ones appropriate to their study. Where specific guidance is given, it represents a view on reasonable approaches to QRA, balancing the need for accuracy against the need for economy, or else a judgement of what is typically done. The guidance should not be considered as mandatory, or as recommended by DNV Technica except where stated.

1.5 Referencing

References are given at the end of Part I and at the end of each Appendix.

As far as possible, this guide is based on public-domain sources, and all the references are either openly published or are expected to be published in the near future. In a few cases it references documents that are confidential but widely circulated within the offshore industry.

In many cases there are no public-domain sources for the data needed in a QRA, and therefore Part II of the guide draws extensively on sources that are confidential and cannot be acknowledged in full.

1.6 Definition of Terms

Terms such as ‘hazard’, ‘risk’ and ‘risk assessment’ have been given many different meanings. The definitions which are used in this guide are based on an authoritative multi-disciplinary review by the Royal Society (1983 and 1992), as extended for the chemical process industry (I.Chem.E 1992) and for quality assurance and reliability by ISO (1986) and its national implementations (e.g. BSI 1991). There is by no means universal agreement on the definitions given, but these are reasonably well used and are becoming standard by virtue of being adopted by the above sources.
Definitions of terms used are given at appropriate points in the guide. Definitions of the most commonly used terms and abbreviations are provided in a glossary at the end of Part I.
Risk assessment is the overall process of identifying and analyzing risk, and evaluating how it might be modified to maintain appropriate levels of safety and to satisfy regulatory and corporate criteria. A QRA is a formal and systematic approach to estimating the likelihood and consequences of hazardous events, and expressing the results quantitatively as risk to people, the environment or your business. It also assesses the robustness and validity of quantitative results, by identifying critical assumptions and risk driving elements. You may need to demonstrate acceptable risk levels during the Quantitative Risk Assessment.

Risk assessment is the determination of quantitative or qualitative value of risk related to a concrete situation and a recognized threat (also called hazard). Quantitative risk assessment requires calculations of two components of risk ($R$): the magnitude of the potential loss ($L$), and the probability ($p$) that the loss will occur. The procedure for assessing risk than is discussed in the next section.
The QRA, standing for the quantitative risk assessment, addressed in this publication, is a new technique cutting across the traditional divisions of engineering, applying to all of them. Most of the textbooks addressing this technique are relating to the fields on chemical/nuclear engineering and currently there is no any standard reference volumes that would provide the proper guidance on the offshore risk assessment. The objective of this guide is to give an introduction to the QRA as applied to the offshore industry, aiming to introduce all most important aspects of the QRA and describing Quantitative risk assessment (QRA) is a technique that can be used to help achieve this balance. In the UK and Norway, the use of risk assessment is a legislative requirement for all new and existing installations, and several other countries are implementing similar regulations. As a result, QRA is now being used world-wide by designers, operators, and consultants in the offshore industry. QRA is a relatively new technique. In order to fill this gap, the Centre for Marine and Petroleum Technology (CMPT) has organised a multisponsor project to prepare a guide to offshore QRA. The sponsors include offshore operators and regulatory authorities in the UK, Norway, USA and Canada. DNV Technica has been the main contractor for the work. A Guide to Quantitative Risk Assessment for Offshore Installations. John Spouge DNV Technica. Download (pdf, 3.34 Mb) Donate Read. Risk Assessment in the Offshore Industry. OGP-Human Factors Engineering for Projects. Guidelines for Quantitative Risk Assessment. Fatigue Analyses of Offshore Structures. Download Now. Guidance on what constitutes a suitable and sufficient risk assessment, for the purposes of a safety case demonstration, is provided here. The scope is aimed at fire and explosion risk assessment but the general principles may also be applicable to the assessment of risk from other sources. It is complementary to HSE’s Topic Guidance 3 on fire, explosion and risk assessment. Risk Assessment Data Directory Other recommendations include potential improvements to the HCRD and wider availability of data sources. Data sources should produce a report describing the data (including the number of failures and the underlying population) and have a method of receiving feedback from users. This report and the work it describes were funded by the Health and Safety Executive (HSE). Its contents, including any opinions and/or conclusions expressed, are those of the authors alone and do not necessarily reflect HSE policy. HSE Books. 2. Offshore
A guide to quantitative risk assessment for offshore installations. Overview of Marine and Offshore Safety, Chapter.

Quantitative risk assessment provides means to conduct system analysis of risk due to hazard activities and evaluation of various risk reducing measures (Spouge, 1999). Risk to an offshore installation may be expressed into the main categories of risks posed to human life, assets, production delay and environment. The likelihood of an event may be expressed either as a frequency (i.e. the rate of events per unit time) or a probability (i.e. the chance of the event occurring in specified circumstances). The consequence is the degree of harm caused by the event (Spouge, 1999). Risk Assessment 2: Quantitative Analysis. Decision Making Tree. Risk Resolution. References. Why Risk Assessment? Risk assessment has become an integral part of the risk management process. In fact, a project cannot be approved for execution unless a thorough assessment of risks has been done. While the methodology remains the same, the types of risks will vary a great deal in terms of seriousness, frequency and impact. Without proper identification of risks, project risks cannot be assessed and reports related to it generated. Hence, by using many techniques like the SWOT Analysis, PESTLE Analysis, Delphi technique, Interviewing, Brainstorming, etc, a major proportion of project risks are identified. A Quantitative Risk Assessment (QRA) is a valuable tool for determining the risk of the use, handling, transport and storage of dangerous substances. QRAs are used to demonstrate the risk caused by the activity and to provide the competent authorities with relevant information to enable decisions on the acceptability of risk related to developments on site, or around the establishment or transport route. If a Quantitative Risk Assessment has to be made as part of a Safety Report, it is not necessary to assess the risks of all installations of an establishment. However, it is important to consider all installations substantially contributing to the risk caused by an establishment.