



University of Tehran

Faculty of World Studies

**Myth of New York City
In Five Novels by Paul Auster**

By: Saeedeh Allahdadi

Supervisor: Dr. Seyed Mohammad Marandi

Advisor: Dr. Mohammad Reza Javadi Yeganeh

**A Thesis Submitted to the Graduate Studies Office in
Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for a Master of
Arts Degree in North American Studies**

Winter 1388

In the Name of God

Abstract

The City is one of the most important concepts in the field of cultural studies. Different scholars have approached this concept from different sociological, literary and mythical perspectives. There are three types of myth with regards to the city. The third kind of myth in this context, the conceptual basis of this study, is concerned with the city becoming mythical through literary imagination.

To introduce the concept of myth, this dissertation glosses over the theories of prominent thinkers concerning ancient and new myths. The hypothesis of this study is that the myth of New York has been portrayed through literary works by Paul Auster (1947-Present). Five novels by Auster (*New York Trilogy*, *Leviathan* and *The Brooklyn Follies*) were chosen. From them, six city-related elements were collected and categorized in order to answer the following questions: Has the author presented an imaginative and interesting description of New York City physically and has the author made the city and its events mythical?

The method used is Philipp Mayring's qualitative content analysis. The model presented by Jalal Sattari in *The Myth of Tehran* is also employed. Three theories in the field of urban studies are used for categorizing the elements: Karl Marx's city/countryside opposition, Walter Benjamin's Flânerie and Henri Lefebvre's concept of "fighting for

space”. The conclusion is that it is not the city that has become mythical in these novels, but rather the people who interact with the city and have chosen it as a place for wandering and searching for their lost identities.

Key Terms: Myth, Modern Myths, City, Place, Novel, Modernity, Sociology of Literature, Flâneur, Identity.

Acknowledgement

I wish to thank Dr. Marandi the Supervisor of this dissertation. I am indebted to his generosity of knowledge and heart. He did more than a professor does. He is most responsible for helping me complete the writing of this dissertation as well as the challenging research that lies behind it.

I would like to show my gratitude to Dr. Javadi Yeganeh as my Advisor who accepted to help and guide me even though the dissertation was handed to him quite late. But during the writing process he supported me by introducing many valuable sources.

A special note of thanks goes to my best friend Saeed Biniiaz whose creative suggestions always helps me to find unique ideas.

Although separated by many roads from here to Mashhad, my family has supported me loyally and with a full heart throughout my years of education. My mother, my brother, my sister and especially my father who is the real wealth I ever had in my life.

And my new family, Mohammad Hassan Kolahi, my husband who always encourages me to do my best.

However this dissertation would not have been possible without the essential and gracious support by him, but he is more than a supporter for this dissertation. He is my reason to continue to overcome all challenges in my life.

I would like to thanks the following for their friendship and support:

My professors: Dr. Hosseini, Dr. Ameli, Dr. Abazari, Dr. Rohallah Hosseini and my friends: Miss Rueentan as proofreader, Miss Motahhari, Miss Kamali, Mr. Heydari, Mr. Naderi, Mr. Mohammad Reza Kolahi,

Lastly, I offer my regards and blessings to all of those who supported me in any respect during the completion of the dissertation.

Table of Content

Abstract	I
Table of Figures	VIII
Chapter One: Introduction	1
Introduction	1
1.1. Significance of the Stud	7
1.2. Aims of the Study	12
1.3. Hypothesis and Questions	13
1.4. Methodology	14
1.5. Limitations and Difficulties	14
Chapter Two: Review of Literature	18
2.1. Paris, a Modern Myth	18
2.2. Myth of Tehran	22
2.3. Other Studies about New York City and Literature	27
2.3.1. Writing New York City	27
2.3.2. Imagining Los Angeles: a City in Fiction	28
Chapter Three: Conceptual and Theoretical Framework	31
Introduction	31
3.1. What Is Myth?	31
3.1.1. Definition of Myth	33
3.2. Myth and Great Mythologists	38
3.2.1. Mircea Eliade and Religious Myths	38

3.2.1.2. Ernst Cassirer and Space in Mythical Thought	43
3.2.1.3. Claude Levi-Strauss and Structural Mythology	46
3.2.1.3.1. Structuralism and Myth in Vision of Levi-Strauss	47
3.2.2. Modern Myths and Mythology	49
3.2.2.1. Karl Jung and Flying Saucers	51
3.2.2.1.1. Myth in Jung's Vision	51
3.2.2.1.2. Collective Unconscious	52
3.2.2.1.3. Archetypes	52
3.2.2.1.4. Individualism Process	53
3.2.2.1.5. The New Myth in Jung's Vision	54
3.2.2.1.6. The Myth of the City in Jung's Vision	54
3.3.2. Umberto Eco and the Myth of Superma	55
3.2.2.3. Roland Barthes and Myth, Today	59
3.4. Myth and City	62
3.4.1. Urban Theorists	62
3.4.1.1. Marx and the city/countryside opposition	62
3.4.1.2. Engels and the English City	63
3.4.1.3. Benjamin and Flânerie	64
3.4.1.4. Henri Lefebvre and Fighting for Space	67
3.4.2. New York As a City	68
3.5. Sociology of Literature	72

Introduction	72
3.5.1. Sociology of literature & literary sociology	72
3.5.4. Novel as Main Material for Sociology of Literature	75
3.5.4.2. Auster's Anti-Detective Novels in Opposition to Traditional Detective Novels	76
3.5.5. Literature and Myth	77
Chapter Four: Methodology	80
Introduction	80
Qualitative Content Analysis	80
Chapter Five: Data Analysis	88
Introduction	88
5.1. About Author	88
5.2. Summaries of Novels	89
5.2.1. City of Glass (1985)	89
5.2.2. Ghosts (1986)	90
5.2.3. The Locked Room (1986)	91
5.2.4. Leviathan (1992)	92
5.2.5. The Brooklyn Follies (2005)	93
5.3. Elements	95
First Part of Analysis	95
5.3.1. Place, the Main Element	95
5.3.2. Wandering around Streets	98

5.3.3. New York's Streets under Numbers	105
5.3.4. New York's Signs and Elements	108
5.3.4.1. Statue of Liberty	108
Second Part of Analysis	110
5.3.5. Representation of New York City in A Few Sentences	110
5.3.6. New York City and Other Places	112
5.3.6.1. New York City and the Suburbs	112
5.3.6.2. New York City and Other Cities around the World	113
Chapter Six: Conclusions	117
America as Myth?	117
The Myth of Identity: What the Inhabitants of Great Cities Miss	122
Bibliography	124
Books:	124
Journals:	136
Websites:	137

Table of Figures

Figure 1: The characteristics of space in three different perceptions	45
Figure 2: The Semiological Systems of Myth	62
Figure 3: Step model of inductive category development	84
Figure 4: The Counting of the Words Related to the Concept of City	115

Chapter 1

Chapter One: Introduction

Introduction

A City is not only a place for living but it is also a common concept for the people who live in it. Social matters and culture are constructed within the structure of a city; as a result, city has meaning beyond a physical surface. “There are three kinds of approaches regarding the city, in the words of Jalal Sattari, who relates city to myth” (13-14)*.

The first one explains city as mythical basis. The initial point is that the mythical city has an inspirational and mythical basis on which it is structured. In other words, its makers, architects and planners have not built it on a regional, endemic, environmental, social, political and economic basis; instead, they have made it according to a pattern which is not necessarily compatible with the mentioned needs. One of the best examples of these kinds of cities is Rome. Nowadays, Rome is the capital city of Italy and its building started in 753 BC. The history of Rome begins with myth, and so Rome can be a good example of cities that built upon myths. There are other cities in the world with the same background, but they do not exist anymore.

To explain the myths about the foundation of Rome, it should be mentioned that there are two myths: the myth of Aeneas and the myth of Romulus and his twin brother, Remus. It should be added that “Aeneas is sometimes introduced as founder of Rome, but the myth of Roman foundation that is most familiar is that of Romulus, the first king of Rome” (Gill).

Here each of these myths will be introduced in order to make clear what was previously said about building a city based on myths. The first myth can be summed up thus: “Aeneas was a prince and a great Trojan hero. During the Trojan War, he was wounded by the Greek hero; but his mother, Venus, who was a goddess, saved him. After ten years of fighting, finally, Greek army found a way inside the city. Aeneas had to escape toward Italy. He succeeded to establish a great new kingdom: Italy” (Hoena 15). And Rome was founded as the capital of Italy.

* All quotations from Jalal Sattari are translations by the present author from the original Persian.

The second myth of the founding of Rome is the story of two brothers named Romulus and Remus. Their uncle thinks that they can be a threat to his power. “Amulius had to arrest their mother, Rhea Sylvia, and two boys. They were condemned to die. Instead of burying them alive with their mother, Amulius had them placed in a basket and float down the River Tiber” (Guerber 18). Their uncle was unable to change their destiny and they were protected by a she-wolf. She looked after them until one day a shepherd found them. “Years later, they decided to found their own city. Romulus and Remus wanted to use signs in sky. They could not decide on the exact site and started building separate sets of walls. Each twin claimed his was the city .They fought and Remus was killed by Romulus. He did continue to build up his city, which was named Rome” (Cawthorne 2).

As was mentioned, “Rome was founded up on the myth of a perfect marriage between the goddess of beauty and the god of triumph. The former, Venus, was the mother of Aeneas; the latter, Mars, was the father of Romulus. Aeneas and Romulus became the two most revered heroes of the new empire” (Kearney 84).

In conclusion there are two very different myths about the founding of Rome. Both of these myths have survived throughout the centuries to be very well known. As Kearney sees it, “the original purpose of mythologies, for Rome as for all major empires and nations was to provide its people with a sense of original identity. Myths were thus deemed to convey some kind of primordial power to the extent that they narrated a sacred history” (87).

Apart from who the establisher of Rome was, there is another important question regarding the place. Where was Rome established exactly? Another myth provides the answer. According to tradition, “Rome was built on seven hills, all located east of the River Tiber some fifteen miles upstream from its mouth” (Couch 205).

“These seven hills were known by the names of the Aventine, the Capitoline, the Caelian, the Esquiline, the Palatine, the Quirinal and the Viminal hill” (Arnold 13). These hills had a very important role in ancient Roman mythology, religion and politics. “The Palatine Hill, by tradition, is where Romulus founded the city” (Funicciello et al 36).

As Sattari mentions, cities were seen as signs of gods. “Therefore cities were built according to an ideal plan which ancient people believed to be the structure of the greater world. Thus the building of cities was accompanied by special rituals, and these rituals had mythological aspects. An example of this is the foundation of Rome, which started with the burrowing of a trench called Mundus” (17-18).

In *Science of Mythology*, Jung and Kerényi refer to this ritual which was executed for the foundation of Rome. Jung quotes from Ovid’s *Fasti*: “According to the most detailed account of Roman city-foundation, Plutarch’s biography of Romulus, there is mention of a circle which is described from a center; the center takes the form of a circle pit called a Mundus” (13). Grandazzi talks about Mundus as “the center of the city and of the world” (168). However, later, this kind of circle and city-plan failed to correspond. “This form is theoretically conceivable only as a square within a circle, in the Indian culture these circle were called Mandala” (Jung and Kerényi 14).

Plutarch, the Greek philosopher and historian, completely explains the story of Rome foundation regarding mythical rituals through Romulus’s biography in his book:

Romulus, having buried his brother Remus, together with his two foster-fathers, on the mount Remonia, set to building his city; and sent for men out of Tuscany, who directed him by sacred usages and written rules in all the ceremonies to be observed, as in a religious rite. First, they dug a round trench about that which is now the Comitium, or Court of Assembly, and into it solemnly threw the first-fruits of all things either good by custom or necessary by nature; lastly, every man taking a small piece of earth of the country from whence he came, they all threw in promiscuously together. This trench they call, as they do the heavens, Mundus; making which their centre, they described the city in a circle round it. Then the founder fitted to a plough a brazen ploughshare, and, yoking together a bull and a cow, drove himself a deep line or furrow round the bounds; while the business of those that followed after was to see that whatever earth was thrown up should be turned all inwards towards the city; and not to let any clod lie outside. With this line they described the wall, and called it, by a contraction, Pomoerium, that is, postmorum, after or beside the wall; and where they designed to make a gate, there they took out the share, carried the plough over, and left a space; for which reason they consider the whole wall as holy, except

where the gates are; for had they adjudged them also sacred, they could not, without offence to religion, have given free ingress and egress for the necessities of human life, some of which are in themselves unclean. As for the day they began to build the city, it is universally agreed to have been the twenty-first of April, and that day the Romans annually keep holy, calling it their country's birthday. At first, they say, they sacrificed no living creature on this day, thinking it fit to preserve the feast of their country's birthday pure and without stain of blood. Yet before ever the city was built, there was a feast of herdsmen and shepherds kept on this day, which went by the name of Palilia. (16-17)

It should be added that traditions do not agree on the size of Romulus' city. "There are a great number of uncertainties in the sources, which reveal numerous reworkings of the legend corresponding to the various stages of the development of Rome" (Grimal 407). So Rome -in myth at least- "was founded in 753 BCE" (McCarty 17). What can be gained from these myths is only better knowledge of a people who lived in ancient times. These myths reflect the manner in which the Roman people wanted to be known to the world. According to Cornell all these myths and stories have an ideological aspect: "The most revealing sign of this is the way it defines the identity of the Roman people as a mixture of different ethnic groups, and of Roman culture as the product of various foreign influences. There could hardly be a greater contrast with the foundation myths of the Greek cities, which insisted on the purity and continuity of their origins" (Cornell 60).

The second type is the city which is called utopia. Webster's Online Dictionary defines it in two parts: "1. an imaginary island, represented by Sir Thomas More in a work called Utopia, as enjoying the greatest perfection in politics, laws and the like, 2. any place or state of ideal perfection". And in another definition, "Utopia as colloquially understood is introduced as a good, but non-existent and therefore impossible, society" (Levitas 2).

Sir Thomas More's work, Utopia, is as influential in Western philosophy as Farabi's position is in Islamic philosophy. Farabi used "Medina Fazeleh" to refer to this city, the place whose leaders are philosophers. Unlike Farabi's work, Sir Thomas More works on Utopia as a literary genre. The book, written in Latin in 1516, is about

1. Short Biography of Paul Auster. Paul Auster is an American-Jewish essayist, novelist, translator, poet, screenwriter and memoirist, who was born in Newark, New Jersey on February 3, 1947. The first volume of New York Trilogy, *City of Glass* is the story of Daniel Quinn, who lives in an apartment in New York and has isolated himself from the society. He is a former poet and now a writer of detective fiction under the pseudonym, William Wilson. He is thirty five years old and lost his wife and son years ago. One day, someone dials his phone number and asks for Paul. The New York Trilogy by Paul Auster is a series of three detective novels: *City of Glass* (1985), *Ghosts* (1986), and *The Locked Room* (1986). The stories are not independent of one another and have since been published together in one volume. All three novels are set in New York at different time periods. Download The New York Trilogy Study Guide. Subscribe Now. *City of Glass* is about a lonely and unhappy thirty-five-year-old widower named Daniel Quinn. He is a writer of detective stories, writing under the pseudonym William Wilson. When he is not writing, Quinn reads mystery novels and walks the streets of New York. Quinn receives a series of phone calls from someone wanting to hire a detective named Paul Auster. The New York Review of Books has called Paul Auster's work "one of the most distinctive niches in contemporary literature." Moving at the breathless pace of a thriller, this uniquely stylized trilogy of detective novels begins with *City of Glass*, in which Quinn, a remarkable, acclaimed series of interconnected detective novels "from the author of *4 3 2 1: A Novel*. The New York Review of Books has called Paul Auster's work "one of the most distinctive niches in contemporary literature." He's drawn into the streets of New York, onto an elusive case that's more puzzling and more deeply-layered than anything he might have written himself. In *Ghosts*, Blue, a mentee of Brown, is hired by White to spy on Black from a window on Orange Street. The New York Trilogy is a series of novels by American writer Paul Auster. Originally published sequentially as *City of Glass* (1985), *Ghosts* (1986) and *The Locked Room* (1986), it has since been collected into a single volume. The Trilogy is a postmodern interpretation of detective and mystery fiction, exploring various philosophical themes. A 2006 reissue by Penguin Books is fronted by new pulp magazine-style covers by comic book illustrator Art Spiegelman.